


2/1/06

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: February 28, 2006 Signature: 
(Bruno Polito)

DRIZZO 3.0-001 RE
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Reissue Application of:
Rizzo et al.

Application No.: 10/645,450

Filed: August 21, 2003

For: COMPUTERIZED SYSTEM AND METHOD
FOR ASSISTING POTENTIAL CLIENTS
TO IDENTIFY AN APPROPRIATE
PROVIDER FOR PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES

:
:
:
:
: Group Art Unit: 2168
:
: Examiner: H. Q. Pham
:
:
:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed on February 16, 2006, applicants submit that the Notice was erroneously issued.

The Notice indicates that the Amendment mailed on January 31, 2006 is non-compliant because it does not include a complete listing of all the claims as required under 37 C.F.R. §1.121. However, the present application is a reissue application and therefore falls under 37 C.F.R. §1.173. In accordance with §1.173(b)(2), an amendment paper in a reissue application must include: "the entire text of each claim being changed by such amendment paper and of each claim added by such amendment paper." Thus, claims that are not being changed or added do not need to be included.


The applicability of §1.173 to the present application and applicants' interpretation of §1.173 were confirmed by Supervisory Examiner Jeffrey A. Gaffin on February 27, 2006. Mr. Gaffin's courtesy and professionalism are gratefully acknowledged.

In view of the rules regarding claim amendments in reissue applications, applicants submit that the Amendment mailed on January 31, 2006 is compliant with all formality requirements and request that the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment be withdrawn.

Since this response is being filed within one month of the mailing of the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, there is no fee associated with the response. Nevertheless, applicants note that Examiner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with the above-identified application to Deposit Account No. 12-1095.

Dated: February 28, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Bruno Polito
Registration No.: 38,580
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP
600 South Avenue West
Westfield, New Jersey 07090
(908) 654-5000
Attorney for Applicant